

There is a dialectic between our reflections and our mutual discoveries at the x international level (not sure what you meant: dialectic between nat'l and internat'l??)

There is a conflict between the ideas we are expressing and the concrete reality, the existing attitudes and opinions, as shown for instance by Prof. Kooy's research in Holland.

There is the possibility that the sciences change everything, both man and his world, and a concern for whether the young see this, whether they accept the objective scientific data as normative, or try to give a human and humanizing meaning to life and to sexuality...

There is a tension between the philosophic and the sociological levels: On the philosophic level we stress that men and women are both human, free to define themselves; that the individual with his or her unique capabilities is what counts; that we should not freeze women into stereotypes of womanly nature or womanly qualities or vocation or whatever. But when we talk about a strategy for conscientization, then we call for equal numbers of men and women. Perhaps this tension comes because we live on many levels simultaneously.

Our attitude toward women is one of the advancement of a minority group.

When we look toward the future, there is question of a new social structure to make new relations of M/W possible. We need a theology of life according to the spirit--we have hardly made a beginning with this.

Church/world relation--churches neglect means offered by science.

Poem.