Culture politique et culture des femmes (Signposts for the next millenium)

Bristol, 5 September 1991



How can we dare to speak of signposts for the next millenium?

Can we try to see, at least, signposts for the next years, for the next months?

Can we ask for clear directions in these times of turbulence?

Can we attempt to see some signs shining in a period where everything seems to get sucked up in a historical black hole?

- And yet, speak/see/ask/expect/attempt to we must!

Because: it is in the depth of the black hole that <u>energy</u> is renewed and new forces can emerge;

it is in periods of uncertainty and turbulence that complexity is at work; systems are reorganizing themselves
and new patterns may emerge.

*

The events of the last months and weeks have shaken the world picture of, for most of us, a whole lifetime.

At the heart of it all, we discover an overwhelming and breath-taking <u>complexity</u>. We discover the existence of different <u>autonomous</u> and <u>yet interdependent systems</u>. The simple mechanism of cause/effect within one single logic <u>is outdated</u>.

The striking events in Soviet Union are a sharp illustration of the several systems and processes in presence.

- a) The <u>collapse of communism</u> as a political regime is one of those systems.
- b) But equally important is the breaking down of the <u>last</u> <u>European empire</u>.
- c) And together with these two systems the process of increasing <u>economic under-development and social</u> <u>deterioration</u> is a most important one.

Fundação Cuidar o Futuro

Of course, a more refined analysis would enlighten other systems at play, such as, for instance, the ethnical and linguistic system providing social cohesion to otherwise scattered groups. Or, at another level, the religious dimension of individuals and groups providing islands of hope.

This <u>interplay of different systems</u> comes sharply into light with the Soviet Union.

But it is a general condition of our contemporary world. Even in the most remote and apparently most homogeneous situation, there are different systems, each with its own logic, each demanding a new type of analysis, each contributing in its own way to the shaping of political culture.

The <u>world governance</u> (as well as <u>governance</u> "tout court" in relation to any social and political entity) is a major concern of contemporary political thought. We cannot fool ourselves: <u>the traditional political culture is over</u>.

We need: new tools of perception of the <u>political mechanisms</u> of every society.

We need new mental categories to establish the links between the actors and the issues of political life.

We need a renewed imagination to take the decisions demanded by the novelty of the times we live in.

The political culture is in search of new paradigms.

The so much fought for participation of women in political life is relevant in so far as it carries with it the possibility for new paradigms to emerge.

Fundação Cuidar o Futuro

At the end of this Conference which was guided by the desire to find "new theological directions" for "liberating women",

and where so many ideas and experiences have been exposed and shared,

we are <u>apparently</u> narrowing down our concerns by concentrating on political culture. I hope that such is not the case. The political scene is in fact a <u>living (and puzzling) metaphor</u> of what goes on in many other fields in the world. It can even be considered as a metaphor of the world in its historical contingency ... (I often say to journalists that the difference between the political scene and the other fields is that what is political is all throughout <u>public and visible</u>). There lies the only difference!)



It is my deep conviction that only now do women begin to be ready to take stock of their own culture and to help shaping the so much needed new political culture.

If their (our) own culture is deeply anchored in the contemporary reality they will intervene in an original way in the shaping of the political culture.

The political picture of today's world seems to demand <u>exactly</u> the perspectives, vision, and attitudes that women's culture carries with it.

Moreover, if the political culture is in search of its new axes, so is the women's culture. We have been two <u>open systems</u>. The period of gestation of a new political culture does offer a rare opportunity not only for women's contribution but also for women's culture to find more clearly (and also more realistically) its own foundations and expressions.

Fundação Cuidar o Futuro

I will concentrate on three main chapters:

- Some key questions of political culture today;
- 2) the different approaches to women and politics;
- 3) the interface between political culture and women culture.



Let me then concentrate on some of the ingredients of the political future of the world.

1.1 If there would no other proof, CNN news would have convinced us about the <u>globalization of all issues</u>, the <u>interdependence</u> of all events.

Surely, we have felt already during the last 20 years (since the dollar became the world currency) the <u>economic</u> interdependence. Those of us who had to deal with the international financial institutions (IMF/World Bank) were almost tragically aware of the grip with which the international system was seizing most countries and their populations. Surely, interdependence was also present during the Cold War period, each country being caught up in the <u>zone of influence</u> of each of the two super-powers. What is different then?

Today, it is at all levels of the world scene that interdependence is felt. And yet it is not clear how such an interdependence dahled ganaged. Under lease of wuddelavia is a clear example: EEC? CSCE? the Security Council? who else?

A world of polalized
..... issues can easily become a world of a one-power domination. In the military and security field the situation is clear: we have moved from a bi-polar world to a uni-polar world.

The task of redefining the conditions for the organization of a <u>multipolar world</u> is imperative. This is why the globalization process demands "new instruments, a new definition of world interests and national interests, new forms of political leadership". (Some steps are taken for a Conference of Security and Cooperation of Africa, the forgotten continent. And some have already expressed the desire for the same type of institution for the Mediterranean region.)

The interdependence of all political entities (and the globalization of all issues) shakes the very basis of the idea of sovereignty, as it has evolved in the modern times.

From the law of non-interference we have moved in the last years (with Ethiopia, Sudan and the Kurdes) to the <u>right of humanitarian assistance</u>.

From the law of <u>untouchable boundaries</u> we seem to be moving to <u>new political entities</u> with <u>new types of links</u> among them.

A few months ago, within the frame of the European Community, it was still possible to speak about <u>delegated</u> sovereignty and <u>enlarged</u> sovereignty, in so far as each country would have increased responsibility. But the sweeping movement in the Balkanic region and Soviet Union puts into question the notion of Nation-State we have applied to countries which were not States or to entities that were still in the process of formation as nations.

This means that a care of the first of the source of the s

Old categories don't hold anymore. New forms have to emerge. New concepts are needed.

This is why we can acknowledge the need for a <u>new world order</u>. But certainly not linked with the Gulf war as President Bush has done at the beginning and at the end of the war! Rather, <u>a new world order</u> based on the globalization of issues and events but <u>geared</u>, at every <u>instance</u> to <u>the rights of individuals and peoples</u> and to <u>their solidarity</u>.

1.2 The market economy as ideology

The new world order that seems to take shape is not devoid of ideologies as some appear to think. A global ideology is taking over: the ideology of the market.

The market economy is viewed as a universal remedy to all problems of governance the world is facing.

Of course, the collapse of the centrally planned economies has left us with only one tool: the market economy. No doubt that the Eastern and Central European countries see the market as the path for better standards of living.

However, the globalization of the market system has put into the limelight its shortcomings:

- the "free" market is not truly free at the world level, as most of the countries enter international trade under the heavy and unternational trade under the countries, with their protectionist measures;
- international financial credit functions in such a way that many countries are <u>paying back more</u> than the amount of wealth they produce annually;
- the boundaries having disappeared for the new armies which are the transnational corporations, direct investments are growing at a ...? rate (27 per cent last year), half of which is invested in USA and the 20 per cent invested in the South going to a handful of ten countries;
- the flux of capital is not moving North/South to help the development of the South but rather South/North with in the



last eight years more than 300 billion dollars from Latin America ...

This amounts to what it is: an <u>organizaed international</u> <u>disorder</u>. It gives the impression that it functions because the institutions proliferate and go on accomplishing their rituals.

But in fact it is creating a massive imbalance, marginalizing the great majority of mankind. The ideology underlying it all is based on the acceptance of exclusion as a legitimate social and political principle. Therefore it assumes a philosophy of inequality among human beings.

This is not an accident of history. Since the 80s' the OECD countries have evolved the concept of <u>structural adjustment</u> as the basis for strengthening our economies.

It defines itself as the "whole set of transformations necessary in order of an outline balances Ufunctioning of the economy.

What does this mean? That every thing in society is geared towards economy and its health.

It means that a purely economic concept has replaced the allencompassing ideas of "social change" or of "project of society".

But the market economy doesn't see anything that cannot be translated into money.

Therefore, the market is "blind":

- it doesn't see poverty and weakness
- it doesn't see the environment
- it doesn't see the spiritual.

Its basic paradigm is one of the power of <u>force</u>, the supremacy of <u>the winner</u>. (The arrogance of the West in relation to East Europe (when) no Western economist has any solution! [Geffrey Sacks]).

No atonishment then that the international economy is at the stage of <u>institutionalized injustice</u>. While the economies of the triad (European Community, Japan, USA) are reinforcing each other, the economies of the South hemisphere - Africa, Latin America and the South of Asia - are perishing and entering a period of <u>almost invisibility</u>, continents and the majority of manking drifting away to marginalization and destitution.

This is why gradually and without any political calculations, from the South start energing more strategies of survival.

They are already at work in visible problems:

- in the attempt of growing masses of people from the South to reach the North and to come to have a share in its wealth;
- in the astounding population growth of the South while EC grows 0.3 per cent up to 2000, the Arab countries of North Africa will have a growth rate ranging from 47 per cent to 67 per cent ...;
- they are even present in the production and in the small organizations of the narco-traffic among the poor in the favelas of Brazil, in the fields of Colombia and Peru ...).



1.3 Democracy at stake

Democracy doesn't stand out undamaged in this picture.

It is true that the Western countries have succeeded to impose a new and positive conditionality to all other countries:

- the establishment of a State of law;
- the multipartism and free elections;
- the <u>respect of human rights</u> as a basic moral guarantee of the legitimacy of any constituted power.

However, at the same time, we see the growing uneasiness with the forms of democracy established in the North hemisphere.

The existence of a political class does excluse from the political decisions most active of a political class does excluse from the

- who decided the Gulf war?
- who decided about the independence of the Baltic States?
- who decided the conditions for Yugoslavia?
 etc., etc.

In the 70s' a "professional political class" was still the object of a debate; today it is seen with distance and contempt. (In a recent French programme after a very good performance of the Secretary of State for Humanitarian Questions, he was considered by more than 60 % as competent, his action as necessary; yet to the question "doest he have a political future?" only 85 per cent said "no"!!).

The fact that electoral campaigns are more and more empty of the real issues and that very few countries have a built-in system of democratic accountability puts into question this kind of representation.

From one side, we are still using the democratic institutions and traditions contemporary of the beginning of industrialization:

- when the phenomenon of urbanization at a massive scale had not yet taken place;
- when life expectancy was much shorter and one was supposed to dedicate oneself to the same activity through a lifetime;
- . when women didn't exist as citizens.

From another side, we are today in an era of communication. Mass media have introduced into social relationships totally new factors. They have established in the relationship between the citizens and the electron of licens is a new mediation the laws of which transform that very relationship.

Democracy is also at stake at the world level. There may be lip-service to the United Nations. But who are those who are deciding now about the new configuration of Europe, about the future of the Soviet Union? The G-7, whose status is the one of "most industrialized countries"!

1.4 Environment as a new social actor

The shortcomings of the world governance, as well as the urgency of some form of decision-making body or binding international laws, are made sharply clear by the questions related to environment.

The Bruntland Report, the Toronto Summit, the Hague Conference, the International Climate Conference, and many other worldwide events have been successive steps in defining the necessary measures to be taken in order to prevent more climate warming, and the depletion of the ozone layer, and fundamentally in order to render the earth habitable and to preserve the harmony and beauty of all life-systems.

More sharply than any other factor, environment emerged as <u>a social actor</u> at <u>the world level</u>.

With the birth of industrialization, <u>nature</u> has entered <u>history</u>. The exploitation of <u>natural resources</u> to feed the process of industrialization in its first stages, the <u>disorder</u> created in nature by the <u>huge human settlements</u> due to accelerating urbanization - these are just the two most striking elements of the encounter between nature and history.

Its consequences are not ignored anymore. For the first time, history - political evolution, economic and second development, international relations - has to take nature into account.

Of course, I am speaking of this new actor whose rebellion pleads finally for our own survival.

But I am also speaking of the <u>interlinkage</u> between nature and all the other <u>political/economic/social</u> processes. I am referring to the orientation of <u>science and technology</u>.

Again it is the question of a change of paradigm: science was supposed to contain in itself its own self-correction. This was the illustration of an endless progress, of the mastering of men over all natural processes.

Moreover, science could be <u>pure</u> and <u>neutral</u>. Pure because there were no immediate applications, neutral because it was conceived in some kind of political limbo ...

Today all science brings $\underline{\text{with it}}$ the technology it leads to. Today Physics, Biology, have shown that they have deep $\underline{\text{political}}$ meanings.

We enter a new type of civilization:

. a civilization where a deep sense of limits is emerging.

Not the abrupt limit of a linear progress cut somehow by ethical considerations,

but the limit coming from the interdependence of systems and beings, or, as psychoanalysis tells us, the limits coming from the inner law of every being,

- where the root of life is reached
- and the depth at The ring lister de red 1110



2. Women as political actors

2.1 Beyond equality: the option of difference

What about women's culture then?

We cannot overlook the fact that most of the actions and decisions taken at national and international level concerning women during the last 20 years have accepted political culture as it was perceived: institutions / elections / careers. The main goal was the equality with men in the management of political life; thus left-unchallenged.

It was not only the acceptance of the traditions, of the established cleavages, of all the steps that led to the global injustice and indifference to the global commons. It was also the very rules of political management. Politics is in its practice one of the most over-simplified systems one can think of. Different areas of political decision making fore disconnected, separated, each Ministry dealing with one single aspect of life as if the others didn't count.

Of course, it was important for women to participate at all levels of political power. It was the end of forbidden territories, it was the path for a more just distribution of social functions among different groups in society.

Gradually, some women began to see that their presence was relevant in so far as it made a difference.

The separatedness of political thinking, the mystification of political action, the acceptance of injustices and exclusion under the disguise of distorted pragmatism, the submission of politics to economics,

the pompous rhetoric of the political class,

the dubious ties kept with the media (so well illustrated in the series Mr Minister),

the growing disparity between those who are wealthy and thus are self-righteous and powerful,

the paraphernalia wrapping up the political functions,

- all these are traits that have nothing in common with women's culture, even when women are their practitioners.

The laws of the internal functioning of the political institutions, with their dogmas, codes and liturgies,

appear as the result of <u>one single pattern</u>, bear the <u>mark of the masculine</u>.

Whenever women accept this kind of political culture, they reinforce the statu quo, giving an alibi to all those who want women to be present everywhere on one condition: that things may remain as they are no Cuidar o Futuro

Moreover, experience has shown that very often, in order to function on equal terms with men, women are "forced" to assimilate the cultural values and the codes of masculine behaviour which rule the public space.

Access to political power is often obtained at the expenses of the <u>silencing</u> in women <u>of their own attitudes</u> and <u>ways of being</u>, <u>thinking and doing</u>, of their <u>own culture</u>.

Those who plead for the difference denounce the perverse effects of an equality that finally <u>reduces</u> women's contribution in the political field.

16

The path to difference in politics

.... is most important at this juncture of time. New ingredients, new approaches, new systems of thought are needed, we say. Women's culture provides already new ingredients and approaches. It is probably on its way to provide new systems of thought.

Most of all the difference expresses itself in the connectedness of problems, of persons, of sectors of decision-making. Women connect and connect, and because they connect, they invent.

As Judith Schlanger shows, when writing about "innovation and history of science",

a <u>different intellectual frame</u> is <u>still within the rational</u> <u>space</u>.

"There is a plurality of frames", she argues, "all adequate but partial and probably temporary, in such a way, that one can go from one frame to another, to invent a new one."

Fundação Cuidar o Futuro

Moreover, as she writes elsewhere the birth of a new thinking depends on the state of the art in a given field. In the realm of political culture we can say that the <u>situation of complexity</u> is a propitious condition for the capacity to connect of women's culture to reach its full fruition.

The <u>sense of connectedness</u> is basic in the exercise of political power, which has the human persons at the core of it.

It sees the person <u>as a whole</u>. Therefore all political measures are centered on that wholeness.

(Contrary to the traditional politics who sees in the human persons:

- in time of elections:

the electorate

- in the newspapers:

the public opinion

- in the official speeches:

the citizens ...)

- . It connects problems and discovers the knots, the hard core to be dealt with. Therefore, it doesn't waste time, it accomplishes all it can.
- . It connects mechanisms: so it works in an intersectorial way.
- . It goes beyond the split between different disciplines as so many are needed to solve problems ...

Page 29 of the manuscript is missing

The establishes the checersary of conferming among Hirishies, inshipments, among Hirishies, inshipments, autices — if decompart mentility. It provokes interaction.

Therefore it is all the time in pearch of # new forms of building up active consensus.

1. (Women and New World Order)

At the dawn of the XXIth century, the women's movement remains the <u>most international</u> of all social movements. I said "remain" - but shouldn't I say "can become"?

The women's culture can give an irreplaceable contribution:

- to help the world to speak beyond one's own region, nation, ethnic group,
- to give room to a "we" that encompasses a plurality of situations, convictions, attitudes,
- to establish the transnational character of all initiatives as the best way to express the interdependence of today's world.

It is important, vital for the world, at this time of such striking interdependence, to denounce the fallacy of the outdated concept of "ennemy" of therefore of twilding in new concepts of security and defense.

Of course, women, like in Yugoslavia women from both sides, will demonstrate and protest against war. But let us seize the moment to plead for, to establish the rationale and if possible to decide for the systems and "weapons" intimes of peace.

Women's culture of <u>care</u> and <u>connectedness</u> is needed to create the bridges among ethnic groups, to accelerate the formation of nations, to relativise the importance of the State as it evolved in Europe in the last 200 years.

(Market)

We can also expect women's culture to correct the excesses of the market ideology:

- to introduce the <u>necessary regulatory and redistributive</u> mechanisms, even if it is a trend now to undo all the State interventions;
- to approach the world of economics with <u>imagination</u> and <u>never</u>, <u>never allow economy to be the guiding factor of political life</u>, as all processes of economic development are there not as an end in themselves but at the service of the human persons.

We can even expect women's culture to re-orientate the market economy by obliging the economy to acknowledge, within its own logic, the value of the quality of life, of the flexibility in patterns of work, of the mobility of people according to their needs and desiresund week. Cuidar o Futuro

Women constitute the most powerful agent of consumption.

They can counteract the perverse effect of publicity (creating passive persons who unconsciously obey to its suggestions) by becoming lucid agents of consumption. Thus can they fulfil an economic function, capable of regulating the predominant model of "always more". (IAC-Fukuda)

(We can do one thing. As women, we cannot go on pretending we cannot do anything about the prevailing economic disorder. For years, four decades now, the UN has been asking in the International Strategies for Development that the rich countries give one per cent of their PNB to develop/aid. (McNamara) So far only the Netherlands and Sweden have done so. My proposal is:



Didn't time come now for the international community to establish a conditionality for the rich countries? What if all of them, in order to vote in the UN would have to contribute their one per cent for development?)

Fundação Cuidar o Futuro

We can do one thing. As women, 33 we cannot go on pretending we don't cannot do anything about the privailing economic disorder. For years, 4 decads I now, he UN has been asking in the In Hual Strategies for Develop ment that the rich countries give 1to of their PNB to de velogs/aid. So far only WL and Sweden have done so. Didn't time come now for the inthual community to establish a conditionality for the rich a countries? What if all of them, it order to ook in the UN ação Cuidar of Futuro Meir 1/5 for de velopment)

(Democracy)

Women's culture has also to take stock of its own experiences in the sharing of responsibility and power.

Women experience that there are many more <u>instances of power</u> than those offered by the political institutions. By making such situations more visible women help to restitute power to everyone in society, they <u>do empower others</u>, most of all those whose voice is not heard.

The <u>manifold tasks of women</u>, the way in which their culture has integrated such tasks, does not only express itself in <u>responsibility</u> as a political component,

but also helps the socio-political reality to organize itself around new issues and with the participation of new actors. (1)

The school, the medical services and the hospital, the public services of all representation of the medial of corrections in a paramount way at different stages of their lives. Therefore, the social partners cannot anymore be confined to the binome employers/trade unions inherited from the industrialization era.

The big institutions which are a pilar of society merit to gather around a table all the social partners whose life or work is directly associated with them.

Women's culture cannot accept as a permanent compromise the party discipline.

Women in political life have to introduce the primacy of autonomy of decision and consequently of majorities not according to party lines but according to ideas and their value.

(Environment)

Women's culture can reinforce in political culture the allencompassing value of the <u>primacy of life</u>.

The relationship of the woman to nature which prevented for so long the expression of a women's culture can be taken up by this very culture and transformed from a handicap to an asset. (The fact that many women's groups are involved with the Conference on Environment and Development in Rio de Janeiro in 1992 is a sign.)

Moreover, the influence of women's culture can be decisive in some concrete aspects.

Women are the main agents of a sustainable development as they are the pilars and inspirators of new lifestyles, ranging in concrete consequences from energy's conservation and sources to new cultural models of consumption.

The presence of many women in the scientifical and technical world can lead to a greater transparency in the perception of the meaning of scientific and technological Choice.

They can and must ask the questions:

- are real human needs answered by this knowledge, by this technology?
- are they serving valid human values?

Concluding remarks

The years, the months ahead are decisive. What I said concerns all women - it is not a question of being present to the times we live in ...

This challenge has a particular echo for Christian women. To live fully the <u>Kairos</u> is to bring closer the horizon of our Faith. To live fully our time is <u>to live</u> the fabrics of our eternity.

("Events were his instruments of work")
Our spirituality cannot be parochial anymore.

The <u>context</u> for our spirituality as well as for our theology is the <u>whole world</u> in its interdependence and complexity. Of course, it is not a question of being aware of everything at once. It is rather the awareness of the interlinkages, the connections,

and daring to break new ground by speaking there at $\underline{\text{the edge}}$ of

different ways of seeing the world,

at the frontiers of what appears in the official discourse as separated and unrelated.

But no contribution will be possible without the deep <u>labour</u> in <u>ourselves</u>, about ourselves.

Spiritual <u>vision and wisdom</u> can only be encountered in the journey of the person <u>to her center</u>.

It is that journey that makes us at once

vulnerable

and stronger,

assertive

and humble,

utopian

and realist.

Fundação Cuidar o Futuro

We can then say with Marguerite Yourcenar:

"... we are probably nothing else but crystals through which currents went.

Everything comes from far away and goes much further than we are going.

And we feel humble and amazed for being so pierced by currents

which go through us

and further than we go."

Currents that go through us and further than we go ... we call this the <u>Spirit of God</u> who, through us, makes all things new.

Maria de Lourdes Pintasilgo

Bristol, 5 September 1991